

DESCENT IN RIGID COHOMOLOGY

(Padova – 2022)

Bernard Le Stum

Université de Rennes 1

September 26, 2022

- 1 INTRODUCTION
- 2 GEOMETRY
- 3 THE OVERCONVERGENT SITE
- 4 CRYSTALS
- 5 DESCENT
- 6 DESCENT AND CRYSTALS

THEOREM

h -coverings satisfy total descent with respect to constructible isocrystals.

History concerning *overconvergent* isocrystals:

- 2003 : Chiarellotto-Tsuzuki (étale cohomological descent) [CT03]
- 2003 : Tsuzuki (proper cohomological descent) [Tsu03]
- 2014 : Zureick-Brown (cohomological descent) [Zur14]
- 2019 : Shiho, Lazda (effective descent) [Laz19]

Ideas behind this new proof:

- cohomological and effective descent done simultaneously,
- étale and proper descent done simultaneously,
- valid for all constructible isocrystals,
- works on general (locally noetherian) formal schemes.

FORMAL SCHEMES

A topological (noetherian) ring A is said to be *adic* if there exists an ideal I whose powers define a basis of neighborhoods of 0. A (*locally noetherian*) *formal scheme* is a topologically locally ringed space which is locally of the form

$$P = \mathrm{Spf}(A) = \{\text{open prime ideals in } A\}$$

where A is an adic ring. More precisely (for $f \in A$):

$$U = \{\mathfrak{p} \in P, f \notin \mathfrak{p}\} \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_P(U) = \widehat{A[1/f]}.$$

EXAMPLE

- A (locally noetherian) scheme is a formal scheme (discrete topology),
- Concretely: $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ are formal schemes,
- If $X \hookrightarrow P$ is a closed embedding of (formal) schemes, we may consider the completion P^{\wedge}_X of P along X which is a formal scheme,
- Concretely: the embedding $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ provides $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

A *Huber ring* (of noetherian type) is a topological ring A which is of finite type over some open adic subring A_0 . It is called a *Tate ring* if there exists a topologically nilpotent unit π .

EXAMPLE

- An adic ring A is a Huber ring,
- If A_0 is a π -adic ring, then $A_0[1/\pi]$ is a Tate ring.

An *adic (resp. analytic) space* is a valued topologically ringed space which is locally of the form

$$V = \text{Spa}(A, A^+) = \{\text{continuous valuations on } A \text{ non negative on } A^+\} / \sim$$

where A is a Huber (resp. Tate) ring and $A^+ \subset A$ is power-bounded. More precisely, when (f_0, \dots, f_r) open in A :

$$U = \{v \in V, v(f_i) \geq v(f_0) \neq +\infty\} \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_V(U) = \widehat{A[1/f_0]}$$

(with $A_0[f_1/f_0, \dots, f_r/f_0]$ as open adic subring).

EXAMPLE

- To any (usual) scheme X , one can associate an adic space X^{val} and a morphism $\text{supp} : X^{\text{val}} \rightarrow X$,
- Locally, if $X = \text{Spec}(A)$, then $X^{\text{val}} = \text{Spa}(A, \emptyset)$ and $\text{supp}(v) = \{f \in A, v(f) = +\infty\}$,
- To any formal scheme P , one can associate an adic space P^{ad} and a morphism $\text{sp} : P^{\text{ad}} \rightarrow P$,
- Locally, if $P = \text{Spf}(A)$, then $P^{\text{ad}} = \text{Spa}(A, A)$ and $\text{sp}(v) = \{f \in A, v(f) > 0\}$,
- If $X \hookrightarrow P$ is a closed embedding of formal schemes, its *tube* is $]X[_P := P/X, \text{ad} \subset P^{\text{ad}}$,
- Concretely, the tube of $0 = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ in $\mathbb{A} = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[T])$ is $\mathbb{D}^- = \text{Spa}(\mathbb{Z}[[T]], \mathbb{Z}[[T]])$ (for the T -adic topology).

One can extend the notion of a tube to a locally closed embedding by boolean combination.

DEFINITION

An *overconvergent space* $(X \hookrightarrow P \leftarrow V)$ is a locally closed embedding of formal schemes $X \hookrightarrow P$ together with a morphism of adic spaces $P^{\text{ad}} \leftarrow V$. It is said to be *analytic* if V is analytic. The *tube* $]X[_V$ of X in V is then the inverse image of $]X[_P$ inside V .

EXAMPLE

Let \mathcal{V} be a discrete valuation ring with fraction (resp. residue) field K (resp. k). Then,

- $\text{Spec}(k) \hookrightarrow \text{Spf}(\mathcal{V}) \leftarrow \text{Spa}(K, \mathcal{V})$

is the usual basis for Berthelot's rigid cohomology (all spaces have a unique point),

- $\text{Spec}(k((t))) \hookrightarrow \text{Spf}(\mathcal{V}[[t]]) \leftarrow \text{Spa}(K \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{V}[[t]], \mathcal{V}[[t]])$

is the basis for Lazda-Pàl's rigid cohomology (the tube has two points $v \rightsquigarrow v^- \notin \text{Berkovich}$).

DEFINITION

A morphism of overconvergent spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 X' \hookrightarrow & P' & \longleftarrow & V' & \\
 \downarrow f & \downarrow v & & \downarrow u & \\
 X \hookrightarrow & P & \longleftarrow & V &
 \end{array}$$

is called a *strict neighborhood* if f is an isomorphism, v is locally noetherian, u is an open embedding and $]f[_u$ is surjective (homeomorphism).

EXAMPLE

- If $C \hookrightarrow S \longleftarrow O$ is an analytic overconvergent space, then there is a sequence of strict neighborhoods (with suggestive notations):

$$(C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^- \longleftarrow \mathbb{D}_O^-) \rightarrow (C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_S \longleftarrow \mathbb{D}_O) \rightarrow (C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_S \longleftarrow \mathbb{P}_O).$$

- A formal blowing up centered outside X is a strict neighborhood.

DEFINITION

The *overconvergent site* \mathbf{Ad}^\dagger is the category of overconvergent spaces localized at strict neighborhoods endowed with the topology inherited from adic spaces. We shall denote by (X, V) the object corresponding to $(X \hookrightarrow P \leftarrow V)$.

More generally, *an overconvergent site* is a fibred category T over \mathbf{Ad}^\dagger .

EXAMPLE

- The category \mathbf{Ad}^\dagger and the category \mathbf{An}^\dagger of analytic overconvergent spaces,
- The fibred category represented by some $(X, V) \in \mathbf{Ad}^\dagger$,
- If $X \in \mathbf{FS}$ (category of formal schemes) is a formal scheme, then $X^\dagger := X \times_{\mathbf{FS}} \mathbf{Ad}^\dagger$ et $X^{\dagger, \text{an}} := X \times_{\mathbf{FS}} \mathbf{An}^\dagger$.
- If $(C, O) \in \mathbf{Ad}^\dagger$ and $X \rightarrow C$ is a morphism of formal schemes, then

$$(X/O)^\dagger := X^\dagger \times_{C^\dagger} (C, O).$$

If, for $(X, V) \in \mathbf{Ad}^\dagger$, we denote the inclusion of the tube by $i_X :]X[_V \hookrightarrow V$, then the $i_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_V$ -modules make a fibred category $\mathcal{M}od$ over \mathbf{Ad}^\dagger .

DEFINITION

A (iso-) crystal on an (analytic) overconvergent site T is a cartesian section of $\mathcal{M}od_T : T \times_{\mathbb{A}^\dagger} \mathcal{M}od$:

$$\text{Cris}(T) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Fib}(T)}(T, \mathcal{M}od_T).$$

One may always consider a crystal E as a sheaf on T via

$$E : s \in T(X, V) \mapsto \Gamma(]X[_V, E(s)).$$

EXAMPLE

- The crystal \mathcal{O}_T^\dagger corresponds to $s \mapsto i_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_V$,
- A finitely presented \mathcal{O}_T^\dagger -module is automatically a crystal,
- If $(X, V) \in \mathbf{Ad}^\dagger$, then $\text{Cris}(X, V) \simeq \text{Mod}(i_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_V)$.

DEFINITION

A crystal E on T is said to be *constructible* if there exists a morphism $T \rightarrow X^\dagger$ and a locally finite covering of X by locally closed formal subschemes Y such that $E|_Y$ is finitely presented.

They provide us with a subcategory $\text{Cris}_{\text{cons}}(T) \subset \text{Cris}(T)$.

If $(X, V) \in \mathbf{Ad}^\dagger$, then an $i_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_V$ -module \mathcal{F} is said to be *constructible* when the corresponding crystal is.

DEFINITION

Let $(X, V) \rightarrow (C, \mathcal{O})$ be a morphism of overconvergent spaces with V locally of finite type over \mathcal{O} defined over \mathbb{Q} . An integrable connection on an $i_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_V$ -module is said to be *overconvergent* if its Taylor series converges on $]X[_{V(1)}$ where $V(1) := V \times_{\mathcal{O}} V$.

We denote by $\text{MIC}_{\text{cons}}(X, V/\mathcal{O})^\dagger$ the category of constructible modules endowed with an overconvergent connection.

MATERIALIZATION

DEFINITION

A *geometric materialization* is a morphism

$$(X \hookrightarrow P \leftarrow V) \rightarrow (C \hookrightarrow S \leftarrow O)$$

of analytic overconvergent spaces which is right cartesian and such that P is partially proper and formally smooth over S in the neighborhood of X .

EXAMPLE

If X is quasi-projective over C , one can choose $P = \mathbb{P}_S^N$ and $V = \mathbb{P}_O^N$.

THEOREM

If V is a geometric materialization of a formal scheme X over an analytic space O defined over \mathbb{Q} , then

$$\mathrm{Cris}_{\mathrm{cons}}(X/O)^\dagger \simeq \mathrm{MIC}_{\mathrm{cons}}(X, V/O)^\dagger.$$

COHOMOLOGY

If $(X, V) \rightarrow (C, \mathcal{O})$ is a morphism of overconvergent spaces, then there exist two morphisms of topoi (by considering the category of sheaves on both sides)

$$\varphi_V : (\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{V}) \rightarrow \widetilde{]X[_V \quad \text{et} \quad j_V : (\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{V}) \rightarrow (\widetilde{X}/\mathcal{O})^\dagger.$$

DEFINITION

If a module \mathcal{F} on $]X[_V$ is endowed with an integrable connection, then its (*derived*) *linearization* is

$$RL_{\text{dR}}\mathcal{F} := Rj_{V*}\varphi_V^* \left(\mathcal{F} \otimes_{i_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_V} i_X^{-1}\Omega_V^\bullet \right).$$

THEOREM (POINCARÉ LEMMA)

Let V be a geometric materialization of a formal scheme X over an analytic space \mathcal{O} defined over \mathbb{Q} . If E is a constructible isocrystal on $(X/\mathcal{O})^\dagger$ and $E_{X,V} := \varphi_{V*}j_V^{-1}E$, then $E \simeq RL_{\text{dR}}E_{X,V}$.

COROLLARY

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{\text{rig}}^k(X/\mathcal{O}, E) := \mathcal{H}^k((X/\mathcal{O})^\dagger, E) \simeq \mathcal{H}_{\text{dR}}^k(]X[_V, E_{X,V}).$$

We consider a site \mathbb{B} (a category endowed with a topology) with fibred products. If $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a morphism in \mathbb{B} , one may consider the standard simplicial complex

$$X(\bullet) : \cdots X(2) \begin{array}{c} \rightrightarrows \\ \rightleftarrows \\ \rightleftarrows \\ \rightleftarrows \\ \rightleftarrows \end{array} X(1) \begin{array}{c} \rightrightarrows \\ \rightleftarrows \\ \rightleftarrows \\ \rightleftarrows \end{array} X(0)$$

where

$$X(i) := \underbrace{X \times_S \cdots \times_S X}_{i+1}.$$

One may then define a *simplicial sheaf* on $X(\bullet)$ as a family of sheaves $\mathcal{F}(i)$ on each $X(i)$ endowed with a compatible family of transition morphisms. The morphism f then induces a morphism of topoi

$$f_\epsilon : \widetilde{X(\bullet)} \rightarrow \widetilde{S}.$$

More precisely, if we denote by $f(i) : X(i) \rightarrow S$ the structural morphism, then

$$f_\epsilon^{-1} \mathcal{F} := f(\bullet)^{-1} \mathcal{F} \quad \text{and} \quad f_{\epsilon*} \mathcal{F}(\bullet) := \ker(f(0)_* \mathcal{F}(0) \rightrightarrows f(1)_* \mathcal{F}(1)).$$

DEFINITION

The morphism f is said to satisfy *cohomological descent* with respect to an abelian sheaf \mathcal{F} if the adjunction map

$$\mathcal{F} \simeq Rf_{\epsilon*} f_{\epsilon}^{-1} \mathcal{F}$$

is an isomorphism.

When this is the case, there exists a spectral sequence

$$E_1^{i,j} := H^j(X(i), f(i)^{-1} \mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(S, \mathcal{F}).$$

Let \mathcal{M} be a fibred subcategory of the category of all abelian sheaves over \mathbb{B} .

DEFINITION

The morphism f is said to satisfy *universal cohomological descent* with respect to \mathcal{M} if each $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M}(S)$ satisfies cohomological descent with respect to f and this still holds true after any base change $S' \rightarrow S$ in \mathbb{B} .

EFFECTIVE DESCENT

We denote by $p_1, p_2 : X(1) \rightarrow X(0)$ and $p_{12}, p_{13}, p_{23} : X(2) \rightarrow X(1)$ the first projections.

DEFINITION

A *descent datum* on an abelian sheaf \mathcal{F} on $X = X(0)$ is an isomorphism

$$\epsilon : p_2^{-1}\mathcal{F} \simeq p_1^{-1}\mathcal{F}$$

such that $p_{13}^{-1}(\epsilon) = p_{12}^{-1}(\epsilon) \circ p_{23}^{-1}(\epsilon)$.

With \mathcal{M} as above, we denote by $\mathcal{M}(X \xrightarrow{f} S)$ the category of $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ endowed with a descent datum with respect to f .

DEFINITION

The morphism f is said to satisfy *universal effective descent* with respect to \mathcal{M} if the pull-back

$$f^{-1} : \mathcal{M}(S) \simeq \mathcal{M}(X \rightarrow S)$$

is an equivalence and this still holds true after any base change $S' \rightarrow S$ in \mathbb{B} .

TOTAL DESCENT

DEFINITION

The morphism f is said to satisfy *total descent* (with respect to \mathcal{M}) if it satisfies both universal cohomological descent and universal effective descent.

EXAMPLE

A finite faithfully flat morphism of formal schemes or adic spaces satisfies total descent with respect to coherent sheaves.

THEOREM (GIRAUD, DELIGNE, SAINT-DONAT, CONRAD)

Morphisms that satisfy total descent (with respect to \mathcal{M}) define a topology which is finer than the original topology of \mathbb{B} :

- *A local epimorphism satisfies total descent,*
- *A morphism dominated by a morphism that satisfies total descent automatically satisfies total descent,*
- *The property is stable under composition,*
- *The property is stable under base change.*

DESCENT (ON ADIC SPACES)

We consider here the case where the base is $\mathbb{B} := \mathbf{Ad}^\dagger$ and \mathcal{M} is a fibered category of crystals (a crystal on (X, V) is essentially an $i_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_V$ -module).

LEMMA

A morphism $(Y, W) \rightarrow (X, V)$ such that $(]Y[_W, i_Y^{-1}\mathcal{O}_W) \simeq (]X[_V, i_X^{-1}\mathcal{O}_V)$ satisfies total descent with respect to crystals.

PROPOSITION

A morphism of analytic spaces

$$(Y \hookrightarrow Q \leftarrow W) \rightarrow (X \hookrightarrow P \leftarrow V)$$

which is left and right cartesian with $Q \rightarrow P$ finite faithfully flat satisfies total descent with respect to constructible isocrystals.

PROOF.

Requires a delicate study of extensions of constructible isocrystals in order to reduce to the finitely presented case. □

DESCENT (ON ADIC SITES)

We consider now the case where $\mathbb{B} = \widehat{\mathbf{An}}^\dagger$ is the category of analytic overconvergent sites which are fibred in sets (or ideally, the 2-category $\mathbb{Fib}(\mathbf{An}^\dagger)$ of all analytic overconvergent sites).

LEMMA

If $X = \cup X_i$ is an open or closed Zariski covering, then $\coprod X_i^{\dagger, \text{an}} \rightarrow X^{\dagger, \text{an}}$ satisfies total descent with respect to isocrystals.

Now, we use Tsuzuki's induction method:

LEMMA

A morphism $(Y/V)^\dagger \rightarrow (X, V)$, where $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is

- 1 *birational and partially proper, or*
- 2 *finite surjective*

and $\dim(Y) \leq d$, satisfies total descent with respect to constructible isocrystals if this is the case whenever f is partially proper surjective with $\dim(Y) < d$.

PROOF OF THE LEMMA

PROOF.

- ① (birational and partially proper) We first reduce to the blowing up of a closed subscheme Z of X . It extends to a blowing up $Q \rightarrow P$ of the closure \overline{Z} of Z . We may now assume that either $]\overline{Z}[_V =]\overline{X}[_V$ or $\overline{Z}_V = \emptyset$. The first case is obtained by induction. The other one comes from the fact that a blowing up being algebraic can only modify the fiber in the tube.
- ② (finite surjective) We first reduce to the case f flat by birational arguments (using the first part). Raynaud-Gruson flatening techniques then provide a finite faithfully flat lift $Q \rightarrow P$. We can finally use our finite faithfully flat descent on analytic overconvergent spaces. □

The proof is purely formal and uses constructible isocrystals only for the last argument. Up to this point, we only relied on geometric constructions using the following:

- Morphism that satisfy total descent define a topology which is finer than the topology of the site,
- Open and closed Zariski coverings satisfy total descent.

CONCLUSION

The h -topology on the category of formal schemes is the topology generated by Zariski open coverings and partially proper surjective morphisms.

THEOREM

If $\{X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$ is an h -covering, then $\coprod_{i \in I} X_i^{\dagger, \text{an}} \rightarrow X^{\dagger, \text{an}}$ satisfies total descent for constructible isocrystals.

In other words, the topology of total descent with respect to constructible isocrystals is finer than the h -topology. Also, as a consequence, constructible isocrystals form a stack for the h -topology.

COROLLARY

Morphisms that are

- *faithfully flat locally formally of finite type, or*
- *partially proper surjective*

satisfy total descent with respect to constructible isocrystals.

We give ourselves a morphism of formal schemes $X \rightarrow C$. We embed C into a formal scheme S . We give ourselves an analytic space O and a morphism $O \rightarrow S^{\text{ad}}$.

EXAMPLE

$C = \text{Spec}(k)$ an X and algebraically variety over k ($\text{Char}(k) = p > 0$).
 $S = \text{Spf}(\mathcal{V})$ where \mathcal{V} is a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field k .
 $O = \text{Spa}(K, \mathcal{V})$ where K is the fraction field of \mathcal{V} ($\text{Char}(K) = 0$).

We give ourselves an h -covering $\{X_i \rightarrow X\}_{i \in I}$. Then, $\coprod_{i \in I} (X_i/O)^\dagger \rightarrow (X/O)^\dagger$ satisfies total descent with respect to constructible isocrystals.

EXAMPLE

Overconvergent isocrystals satisfy total descent with respect to étale coverings, faithfully flat morphisms and proper surjective morphisms.

Remark: with a skeleton/coskeleton argument, in our setting, it is straightforward to extend all these results to hypercoverings.



Bruno Chiarellotto and Nobuo Tsuzuki. “Cohomological descent of rigid cohomology for étale coverings”. In: *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* 109 (2003) (cited on page 3).



Christopher Lazda. “A note on effective descent for overconvergent isocrystals”. In: *Journal of Number Theory* (2019). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022314X19303464> (cited on page 3).



Bernard Le Stum. *Rigid cohomology of locally noetherian schemes Part 1 : Geometry*. 2017. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.02797>.



Bernard Le Stum. *Rigid cohomology of locally noetherian schemes Part 2 : Crystals*. 2022. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.07875>.



Nobuo Tsuzuki. “Cohomological descent of rigid cohomology for proper coverings”. In: *Invent. Math.* 151.1 (2003) (cited on page 3).



David Zureick-Brown. “Cohomological descent on the overconvergent site”. In: *Res. Math. Sci.* 1 (2014). Id/No 8 (cited on page 3).